

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

Timeliness of Mail Processing at the Santa Clarita, CA, Processing and Distribution Center

SANTA CLARITA PROCESSING AND DISTRIBUTION CENTER

Santa Clarita Valle

2820

Audit Report

Report Number NO-AR-17-007 April 6, 2017



OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

Highlights

From April to September 2016, delayed mail volume at the Santa Clarita, CA, Processing and Distribution Center (P&DC) increased by more than 34 million pieces (or 264 percent) compared to the same period last year.

Background

The U.S. Postal Service considers mail to be delayed when it is not processed in time to meet its established delivery day. Delayed mail can adversely affect Postal Service customers and harm the organization's brand.

We used our risk model to identify processing facilities with high volumes of delayed mail. From April to September 2016, delayed mail volume at the Santa Clarita, CA, Processing and Distribution Center (P&DC) increased by more than 34 million pieces (or 264 percent) compared to the same period last year while national delayed mail volume decreased by 75 percent.

The Santa Clarita P&DC is responsible for the Postal Automated Redirection System (PARS) mail for Southern California. PARS is an automated process that redirects mail because of insufficient postage, a change of address or because the mail cannot be delivered due to an incomplete or incorrect address.

Of the 47 million delayed mailpieces at the Santa Clarita P&DC from April to September 2016, 27 million were PARS mail, 19 million were letters and flats, and 839,000 were packages.

Our objective was to determine the cause of delayed mail at the Santa Clarita P&DC.

What the OIG Found

PARS and letter mail was delayed because the Santa Clarita P&DC did not have enough staff to operate mail processing machines and delivery unit employees did not follow PARS mail preparation procedures. Additionally, we found that packages were delayed or sent to another facility for processing because of insufficient machine processing capacity.

In January 2016, PARS mail processing operations moved from the Industry, CA, P&DC to the Santa Clarita P&DC with 24 fulltime equivalent (FTE) positions instead of the requested 64 FTE positions (or 63 percent fewer). Management said they were in the process of filling the open PARS positions.

During our site visit in October 2016, we observed that, because of insufficient staff, up to 12 employees on each shift routinely split their workhours between operating PARS mail processing machines and working in another mail processing operation. This caused the PARS machines to be idle for a portion of these shifts.

Furthermore, employees at the almost 300 delivery units served by the Santa Clarita P&DC did not always follow PARS mail preparation procedures when sending mail to the P&DC. For example, they did not properly label trays containing PARS mail or correctly identify PARS mail trays by category. As a result, P&DC personnel had to manually sort PARS mail so it could be processed for delivery.

Timeliness of Mail Processing at the Santa Clarita, CA, Processing and Distribution Center Report Number NO-AR-17-007



OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

PARS mail preparation and staffing issues and the insufficient machine capacity for packages from April to September 2016 resulted in about 29,000 more overtime hours than budgeted, at a cost of over \$1 million. During our site visit, there was a processing backlog of up to 4 days, with an average of about 995,000 pieces of PARSdelayed mail per day. We discussed the backlog and the incorrect PARS mail preparation with P&DC management. Management subsequently took corrective action to ensure the delivery units used the PARS mail preparation procedures before sending the mail to the P&DC.

The Santa Clarita P&DC did not have sufficient machine capacity for packages. Management said the P&DC operated the package processing machines for more hours than planned to ensure mail scheduled for delivery the next day was processed. As a result, there was not enough time to complete scheduled preventive maintenance.

In addition, we observed the Santa Clarita P&DC sending up to four trailers of packages a day to the Los Angeles International Service Center for processing. Management said the P&DC is supposed to receive an additional package processing machine once they move PARS operations to the Pasadena P&DC in March 2017. We did not assess these changes and plan to evaluate them in future audit work.

PARS mail preparation and staffing issues and the insufficient machine capacity for packages from April to September 2016 resulted in about 29,000 more overtime hours than budgeted,

at a cost of over \$1 million. Management said they used the additional overtime workhours to keep staff after their shifts to process PARS mail and sort packages.

When mail processing facilities do not have sufficient staffing and machine capacity and delivery unit employees do not follow established procedures, there is an increased risk of delayed mail and overtime. In addition to the added costs of overtime, delayed mail could cause customers to move to alternative service providers, which would reduce revenue.

What the OIG Recommended

We recommended that Postal Service management ensure an adequate balance of PARS mail workload and staff to prevent delayed mail and overtime. We also recommended management ensure delivery units comply with PARS mail preparation procedures.

Finally, we recommended that management develop a 2-5 year package processing plan to ensure processing capacity and staffing are adequate to support current and future package volume growth; in the interim, continue to redirect packages to facilities with excess processing capacity where possible; and ensure preventive maintenance is performed within established parameters.

Timeliness of Mail Processing at the Santa Clarita, CA, Processing and Distribution Center Report Number NO-AR-17-007

Transmittal Letter

April 6, 2017	
MEMORANDUM FOR:	DEAN J. GRANHOLM VICE PRESIDENT, PACIFIC AREA
	E-Signed by Michael Thompson ERIFY authenticity with eSign Deskto
FROM:	Michael L. Thompson Deputy Assistant Inspector General for Mission Operations
SUBJECT:	Audit Report – Timeliness of Mail Processing at the Santa Clarita, CA, Processing and Distribution Center (Report Number NO-AR-17-007)
	results of our audit of the Timeliness of Mail Processing Processing and Distribution Center (Project Number
	eration and courtesies provided by your staff. If you have any onal information, please contact Margaret B. McDavid, Directone at 703-248-2100.
Attachment	
	Response Management

Table of Contents

Cover	
Highlights	1
Background	1
What the OIG Found	1
What the OIG Recommended	2
Transmittal Letter	3
Findings	5
Introduction	5
Summary	5
Delayed Mail	6
Staffing	7
PARS Mail Preparation Procedures	9
Machine Capacity	10
Overtime Hours	11
Recommendations	13
Management's Comments	13
Evaluation of Management's Comments	
Appendices	14
Appendix A: Additional Information	15
Background	15
Objective, Scope, and Methodology	16
Appendix B: Management's Comments	19
Contact Information	23

Timeliness of Mail Processing at the Santa Clarita, CA, Processing and Distribution Center Report Number NO-AR-17-007

Findings

PARS and letter mail was delayed because the Santa Clarita P&DC did not have enough staff to operate the processing machines and delivery unit employees did not follow PARS mail preparation procedures. Additionally, we found that packages were delayed or sent to another facility for processing because of insufficient machine processing capacity.

Introduction

This report presents the results of our self-initiated audit of the timeliness of mail processing at the Santa Clarita, CA, Processing and Distribution Center (P&DC) (Project Number 17XG001NO000). Our objective was to determine the cause of delayed mail at the Santa Clarita P&DC. See Appendix A for additional information about this audit.

The U.S. Postal Service considers mail to be delayed when it is not processed in time to meet the established delivery day. Delayed mail can adversely affect Postal Service customers and harm the organization's brand.

We used our risk model to identify processing facilities with high volumes of delayed mail. From April to September 2016, delayed mail volume at the Santa Clarita P&DC increased by more than 34 million pieces (or 264 percent) compared to the same period last year (SPLY) while national delayed mail volume decreased by 75 percent.

The Santa Clarita P&DC is responsible for the Postal Automated Redirection System (PARS) mail for Southern California. PARS is an automated process that redirects mail because of insufficient postage, a change of address or the mail cannot be delivered because of an incomplete or incorrect address.

Of the 47 million delayed mailpieces at the Santa Clarita P&DC from April to September 2016, 27 million were PARS mail, 19 million were letters¹ and flats, and 839,000 were packages.

Summary

PARS and letter mail was delayed because the Santa Clarita P&DC did not have enough staff to operate the processing machines and delivery unit employees did not follow PARS mail preparation procedures. Additionally, we found that packages were delayed or sent to another facility for processing because of insufficient machine processing capacity.

In January 2016, PARS mail processing operations moved from the Industry, CA, P&DC to the Santa Clarita P&DC with 24 fulltime equivalent (FTE)² positions instead of the requested 64 FTE positions (or 63 percent fewer). Management said they were in the process of filling the open PARS positions.

During our site visit in October 2016, we observed that, because of insufficient staff, up to 12 employees on each shift routinely split their workhours between operating PARS mail processing machines and working in another mail processing operation, which caused the PARS machines to be idle for a period of time.

Furthermore, employees in the almost 300 delivery units served by the Santa Clarita P&DC did not always follow PARS mail preparation procedures when sending mail to the P&DC. For example, they did not properly label trays containing PARS mail or correctly identify PARS mail trays by category. As a result, P&DC personnel had to manually sort PARS mail so it could be processed for delivery.

After PARS mail is processed on the PARS mail processing equipment, it becomes classified as letter mail and thus is included as delayed letter volume since it was already in a delayed state as PARS mail.

² One FTE employee equals 1,745 workhours per year.

During our site visit, there was a processing backlog of up to 4 days with an average of about 995,000 pieces of PARS delayed mail per day. We discussed the backlog and the incorrect PARS mail preparation with the P&DC's management. Management subsequently took corrective action to ensure the delivery units used PARS mail preparation procedures before sending the mail to the P&DC.

The Santa Clarita P&DC did not have sufficient machine capacity for packages. Management said the P&DC operated the package processing machines for more hours than planned to ensure mail scheduled for delivery the next day was processed. As a result, there was not enough time to complete scheduled preventive maintenance.

In addition, we observed the Santa Clarita P&DC sending up to four trailers of packages a day to the Los Angeles International Service Center for processing. Management said the P&DC is supposed to receive an additional package processing machine once they move PARS operations to the Pasadena P&DC in March 2017. We did not assess these changes and plan to evaluate them in future audit work.

PARS mail preparation and staffing issues and the insufficient machine capacity for packages from April to September 2016 resulted in about 29,000 more overtime hours than budgeted at a cost of over \$1 million. Management said they used the additional overtime workhours to keep staff after their shifts to process PARS mail and sort packages.

When mail processing facilities do not have sufficient staffing and machine capacity and delivery unit employees do not follow established procedures, there is an increased risk of delayed mail and overtime. In addition, to the added costs of overtime, delayed mail could cause customers to move to alternative service providers, which would reduce re revenue.

Delayed Mail

From April to September 2016, delayed mail volume at the Santa Clarita P&DC increased by more than 34 million pieces (or 264 percent) compared to SPLY, while national delayed mail volume decreased by 75 percent (see Table 1).

Table 1. Santa Clarita Delayed Mail Compared to National Delayed Mail

	Delayed Mailpieces		
Time Period	Santa Clarita P&DC	National	
April – September 2016	47,144,352	298,800,249	
April – September 2015	12,962,736	1,178,075,751	
Difference	34,181,616	(879,275,502)	
Percentage Difference	264% -75%		

Source: Enterprise Data Warehouse (EDW) and Application System Reporting (ASR).

Delayed mail as a percentage of total volume began to increase (as compared to the SPLY) in February 2016, a month after PARS mail processing operations moved from the Industry P&DC to the Santa Clarita P&DC. During the same time, national delayed mail as a percent of total volume decreased compared to the SPLY (see Figure 1).

Delayed mail as a percentage of total volume began to increase (as compared to the SPLY) in February 2016, a month after PARS mail processing operations moved from the Industry P&DC to the Santa Clarita P&DC.



Figure 1. Delayed Mail as a Percentage of Total Volume for Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 through FY 2016

Source: EDW and ASR.

Staffing

The Santa Clarita P&DC did not have sufficient staff to process PARS and letter mail. In January 2016, PARS mail processing operations moved from the Industry P&DC to the Santa Clarita P&DC. According to the manager, In-Plant Support, the Santa Clarita P&DC did not receive any employees from the Industry P&DC because the two facilities are about 56 miles apart and the union contract prohibits relocating employees to positions more than 50 miles away from their current jobs. The Santa Clarita P&DC requested the transfer of 112,420 workhour (or 64 FTEs) positions to the Santa Clarita P&DC from the Industry P&DC to process PARS mail volume; but, only 42,000 workhours (or 24 FTEs) were transferred, or 63 percent less than requested.

During our site visit in October 2016, we observed processing machines sitting idle while employees split their workhours between PARS mail and other mail processing operations (see Figure 2).

Figure 2. Idle Machine Loaded with PARS Mail and Awaiting Processing

The plant manager said the plant is understaffed and moves employees around based on where they are needed and is in the process of filling the vacant positions.



Source: OIG photograph taken October 26, 2016, 8:17 p.m.

The plant manager said the plant is understaffed and moves employees around based on where they are needed and is in the process of filling the vacant positions. The manager, In-Plant Support, also said PARS processing operations will be transferred to the Pasadena P&DC in March 2017. Based on the Postal Service's Function 1 scheduler tool,³ the Santa Clarita P&DC was understaffed by 35 positions as of October 25, 2016 (see Table 2).

Table 2. Santa Clarita P&DC Staffing

Required Staff	Actual Staff	Difference
825	790	(35)

Source: Staffing data provided by the Santa Clarita manager, In-Plant Support, based on the Postal Service's Function 1 scheduler.

3

A tool the Postal Service uses to determine staff needed for operations. It uses data from multiple Postal Service systems to standardize the scheduling process.

PARS Mail Preparation Procedures

The 268 delivery units that sent PARS mail to the Santa Clarita P&DC for processing did not always follow PARS mail preparation procedures (see Table 3). For example, units did not properly face letters in trays or label trays as PARS mail, use approved separator cards, or dispatch PARS mail daily. As a result, Santa Clarita P&DC personnel had to manually sort PARS mail before it could be processed on mail processing machines.

Table 3. PARS Mail Preparation Procedures

Procedure	In Compliance	
1. Prepare delivery unit cases with proper PARS configuration.	N/A ⁴	
2. Properly face letters in trays and label trays as PARS.	No	
3. Properly place separator cards behind the letters for each type of reason.	No	
4. Dispatch PARS mail daily to the P&DC.	No	
5. Order sufficient separator cards. PARS separator cards must not be photocopied.	No	
6. Identify PARS mail containers for a Combined Input-Output Sub-System (CIOSS). ⁷ Top portion of the PARS container is for return-to-sender mail and the bottom portion of the container is for Carrier Identified Forwards.	No	
7. Do not send Change of Address mail with the PARS mail.	Yes	
8. Place proper placard on the container to identify PARS mail.	No	
Courses Old share stimes of PAPC moil of the Costs Clorite PAPC, Ortsher 24, 27, 2040		

Source: OIG observations of PARS mail at the Santa Clarita P&DC, October 24 – 27, 2016.

During our site visit, we observed a backlog of up to 4 days of PARS mail, an average of 995,000 pieces of PARS delayed mail per day (see Table 4 and Figure 3). We discussed the backlog and incorrect PARS mail preparation with the plant manager. During our site visit the manager, Operations, took corrective action to ensure that delivery units complied with PARS mail procedures before sending the mail to the plant.

Table 4. Delayed PARS Mail

	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday
Date	0/25/2016	10/26/2016	10/27/2016
Delayed Mailpieces	855,650	914,089	1,215,839
Date of Oldest Mailpiece	10/22/2016	10/22/2016	10/23/2016
Number of Backlog Days	3	4	4

Source: Mail Condition Reporting System (MCRS) and daily count sheets.

⁴ We did not observe delivery units' PARS mail procedures; therefore, we were unable to determine if any of the delivery units have cases with proper PARS configurations.

⁵ A system that processes PARS mail.

Figure 3. Containers of Delayed PARS Mail



Source: OIG photograph taken October 27, 2016, 6:57 a.m.

Machine Capacity

The Santa Clarita P&DC processed over 34,000 more packages per day than it should have based on the planned operational window (the optimal rate and time at which a machine processes mail). The manager, In-Plant Support, stated that the Santa Clarita P&DC operated Automated Parcel and Bundle Sorter (APBS)⁶ and Automated Package Processing System (APPS)⁷ machines more hours than planned to ensure processing of mail scheduled for delivery the next day. This left insufficient time to complete scheduled preventive maintenance (PM)⁸ on the APPS machine. For example, according to the PM schedule for the month of October 2016, the P&DC did not perform scheduled routine maintenance on the APPS machine⁹ almost 12 percent of the time. According to the PM completion report, unperformed maintenance should be no more than 10 percent. When facilities do not perform regular PM on their processing machines, there is an increased risk that machines could break down and result in additional delayed mail.

As shown in Table 5, the facility had three APBS machines and one APPS machine to process packages; however, the Santa Clarita P&DC did not have sufficient machine capacity to process its packages during the planned operational window.

⁶ The APBS machine sorts bundles and small, single mailpieces into a series of bins from a centralized induction point.

⁷ The APPS machine is used to sort parcels and bundles of mail.

⁸ Preventive maintenance is the scheduled inspection and servicing of mail processing equipment to ensure optimal processing capacity.

⁹ The P&DC did not perform scheduled routine maintenance almost 4 percent of the time on the APBS machines.

Table 5. 6 Month Average Package Processing Capacity

Machine	Number of Machines	Maximum Mailpiece Capacity	Actual Mailpieces Processed	Excess/ (Shortfall) Mailpiece Capacity	Excess/ (Shortfall) Capacity Percentage
APBS	3	28,897,875	28,802,458	95,417	0.3%
APPS	1	18,127,059	23,423,781	(5,296,722)	(29%)
Total	4	47,024,934	52,226,239	(5,201,305)	(11%)

The Santa Clarita P&DC incurred excess overtime hours due to insufficient staffing, inadequate PARS mail preparation, and too little package machine capacity.

Source: WEB End-of-Run (EOR) for April through September 2016.

As a result of the capacity shortfall, the Santa Clarita P&DC sent four trailers of packages daily to the Los Angeles International Service Center for processing (see Table 6). The manager, In-Plant Support, stated that the plant does not have a strategic package processing plan to meet package volume growth. Management said that an additional machine will be installed once PARS operations move to the Pasadena P&DC in March 2017. Based on current package volume, an additional APBS machine will create excess capacity of about 9 percent. We did not assess either of these proposed actions and plan to evaluate them in future audit work.

Table 6. Trailers Offloaded From Santa Clarita P&DC to the International Service Center

Site Visit Dates	Number of Trailers Per Day
Tuesday, October 25, 2016	4
Wednesday, October 26, 2016	3
Thursday, October 27, 2016	2

Source: District Offload Report provided by the Santa Clarita manager, In-Plant Support.

When a mail processing facility does not have sufficient staffing and machine capacity and delivery units do not follow established procedures, there is an increased risk of delayed mail and overtime. Delayed mail could cause customers to move to alternative service providers, thereby reducing revenue.

Overtime Hours

From April to September 2016, the Santa Clarita P&DC incurred excess overtime hours due to insufficient staffing, inadequate PARS mail preparation, and too little package machine capacity. Specifically, almost 82,000 of the 763,899 mail processing workhours, (or 11 percent) were overtime hours. The Santa Clarita P&DC's target overtime rate was 6.95 percent of total workhours and the plant manager said the additional overtime workhours were used to keep staff after their shifts ended to process PARS mail and sort packages. We consider the 28,819 hours over the target of 6.95 percent overtime hours as excess, at a cost of about \$1,068,999 (see Table 7). Overtime hours that go beyond the budgeted amount increase Postal Service costs.



When a facility does not have sufficient staffing and machine capacity, there is an increased risk that mail will not be processed in time to meet its established delivery day, which adversely affects customer service scores. Delayed packages reflect poorly on the Postal Service's brand and can lead customers to move to alternative service providers for package delivery, thereby reducing revenue. We estimated that, based on over 47 million delayed mailpieces from April to September 2016, \$2,004,789 of revenue associated with delayed mail is due to the causes identified in our report.

Recommendations

We recommend the Vice President, Pacific Area:

- 1. Ensure there is an adequate balance of Postal Automated Redirection System mail workload and staff to prevent delayed mail and overtime.
- 2. Ensure delivery units comply with Postal Automated Redirection System mail preparation procedures.

We recommend the Vice President, Pacific Area, direct the plant manager, Santa Clarita Processing & Distribution Center (P&DC), to:

3. Develop a 2-5 year package processing plan for the Santa Clarita P&DC to ensure processing capacity and staffing are adequate to support current and future package volume growth; in the interim, continue to redirect packages to facilities with excess processing capacity where possible; and ensure preventive maintenance is performed within established parameters.

Management's Comments

Management agreed with the findings, recommendations, and monetary impact. See Appendix B for management's comments in their entirety.

Regarding recommendation 1, management agreed with our analysis of the PARS mail volume and overtime hours used to process this mail. Management stated that as of March 2017, the Santa Clarita P&DC no longer processes PARS mail.

Regarding recommendation 2, management stated that Pacific Area staff provided PARS training to the processing plants and delivery units between October 2016 and March 2017.

Regarding recommendation 3, management stated that they are scheduled to upgrade the feed system on one APBS in April 2017, and will have one operational Small Package Sorting System in October 2017. Management stated that to accommodate additional parcel volume and machines, the Santa Clarita P&DC will require additional floor and dock space and will work with the Pacific Area and Postal Service Headquarters to secure an expansion of the building when needed.

Evaluation of Management's Comments

The OIG considers management's comments responsive to the recommendations and corrective actions should resolve the issues identified in the report.

All recommendations require OIG concurrence before closure. Consequently, the OIG requests written confirmation when corrective actions are completed. Recommendations should not be closed in the Postal Service's follow-up tracking system until the OIG provides written confirmation that the recommendations can be closed.

Appendices

Click on the appendix title to the right to navigate to the section content.

Appendix A: Additional Information	15
Background	15
Objective, Scope, and Methodology	16
Appendix B: Management's Comments	19

Appendix A: Additional Information

Background

The Postal Service considers mail to be delayed when it is not processed in time to meet the established delivery day. Delayed mail can adversely affect Postal Service customers and harm the organization's brand.

We used our risk model to identify processing facilities with high volumes of delayed mail. From April to September 2016, delayed mail volume at the Santa Clarita, CA, P&DC increased by more than 34 million pieces (or 264 percent) compared to SPLY while national delayed mail volume decreased by 75 percent.

The Santa Clarita P&DC processes originating and destinating letters, flats, and packages (mail sent to and from) for ZIP Codes ^{™10} 910 - 916 and originating mail for Bakersfield ZIP Codes 932, 933, and 935 as shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4. Zip Codes Serviced by Santa Clarita P&DC



Source: OIG Geographic Information System Mapping Portal.

In addition to letters, flats, and packages, the Santa Clarita P&DC processes all PARS mail for Southern California, which includes ZIP Codes in Table 8.

packages, the Santa Clarita P&DC processes all PARS mail for Southern California.

In addition to letters, flats, and

¹⁰ A system of 5-digit codes that geographically identifies individual post offices or metropolitan area delivery stations associated with every mailing address.

Table 8. PARS ZIP Codes Serviced by the Santa Clarita P&DC

Location	Serves ZIP Code	
Anaheim P&DC	928	
Bakersfield P&DC	932 - 933 and 935	
Industry P&DC	906, 917 and 918	
Los Angeles P&DC	900 - 905, 907 and 908	
Margaret L. Sellers P&DC	919 - 921	
Moreno Valley Delivery and Distribution Center	925	
San Bernardino P&DC	922 - 924	
Santa Ana P&DC	926 and 927	
Santa Barbara P&DC	930 - 931 and 934	
Santa Clarita P&DC	910 - 916	

Source: Santa Clarita P&DC manager, In-Plant Support.

PARS is an automated process that redirects mail because of insufficient postage, an address change or the address is incomplete or incorrect. PARS mail generally requires additional processing time, known as the lift and label process. This means the mailpiece goes through the system, which lifts the address. The mailpiece then goes through the system a second time and it places a yellow label on the mailpiece to identify an address change or mail that is undeliverable due to insufficient postage or an incorrect address. Sometimes the machine cannot read the address so it must be keyed in manually. Once a mailpiece is identified as PARS mail, it no longer has a service standard associated with its delivery. Some PARS mail also becomes waste due to an incomplete address or an expired forwarding address.

Objective, Scope, and Methodology

Our objective was to determine the cause of delayed mail at the Santa Clarita P&DC. To accomplish our objective we:

- Conducted observations at the Santa Clarita P&DC the week of October 24, 2016.
- Interviewed the plant manager and manager, In-Plant Support, to determine the reasons for delayed mail and corrective actions taken or planned.
- Evaluated Performance and Results Information System model data and data obtained from ASR to identify delayed mail trends as a percentage of total mailpieces fed.
- Analyzed the facility's operating plan to determine critical entry times, clearance times, dispatch of value, and transportation schedules.
- Evaluated the operating plan and made observations to determine if mail was arriving at the facility from other facilities in an already delayed condition or arriving after critical entry times.

PARS is an automated process that redirects mail because of insufficient postage, an address change or the address is incomplete or incorrect.

- Determined if mail was being properly staged and worked in first-in, first-out order.
- Evaluated procedures for counting daily mail volume and observed employees performing daily mail counts.
- Determined if mail counts were accurate and complete and accurately entered into the WebMCRS.
- Inspected trailers at the site and determined if employees were improperly storing mail in trailers and not including it in WebMCRS reports.
- Assessed reports, performed observations, and evaluated the information to determine if machine capacity affected the facility's ability to process mail on time.
- Determined if P&DC management was properly preparing and following the run plan generator.¹¹
- Assessed complement reports, performed observations, and evaluated the information to determine if staffing (including the ratio of supervisors to employees) and scheduling impacted the facility's ability to process mail timely.
- Evaluated service reports to determine if delayed mail impacted service.

We conducted this performance audit from October 2016 through April 2017, in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards and included such tests of internal controls as we considered necessary under the circumstances. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective. We discussed our observations and conclusions with management on February 23, 2017, and included their comments where appropriate.

We used computer processed data from ASR, EDW, WebMCRS, WebEOR, and Web Complement Information System when performing our analysis. We assessed the reliability of computer-generated data by interviewing agency officials knowledgeable about the data. We determined the data were sufficiently reliable for the purposes of this report.

¹¹ The run plan generator software gives the floor supervisors a guide on how many machines should be started and what sort plans should be run based on the volume of mail expected.

Prior Audit Coverage

Report Title	Objective	Report Number	Final Report Date	Monetary Impact (in millions)
Timeliness of Mail Processing at the Queens, NY, Processing and Distribution Center	Determine the cause of delayed mail at the Queens P&DC.	NO-AR-16-010	9/20/2016	\$2.1
Management Alert Timeliness of Mail Processing at the North Houston, TX, Processing and Distribution Center	Determine if the North Houston P&DC was processing mail on time.	NO-MT-16-002	2/29/2016	None
Management Alert Timeliness of Mail Processing at the Denver Processing and Distribution Center	Determine if the Denver P&DC was processing mail on time.	NO-MT-16-001	12/3/2015	None
Management Alert Substantial Increase in Delayed Mail	Assess the timeliness of mail processing after the January 5, 2015 service standard revi- sions.	NO-MA-15-004	8/13/2015	None
Management Alert Mail Processing Operations at the Southern Maine Processing and Distribution Center	Assess mail processing opera- tional changes at the Southern Maine P&DC in response to the January 5, 2015 service stan- dard revisions.	NO-MA-15-003	5/11/2015	None

Appendix B: Management's Comments





Management Response / Action Plan

We agree with the recommendation. Processing Plants and Delivery units were given PARS preparation training by Pacific Area staff between October 2016 and March 2017.

3

Target Implementation Date

Completed. The Pacific Area published the PARS Preparation Guidelines and made follow ups at the supplying PDCs and select Post Office to ensure compliance. The training and follow up was completed in March 2017.

Responsible Officials

Larry Munoz, District Manager, Sierra Coastal James Olson, District Manager, San Diego Ed Ruiz, District Manager, Santa Ana Al Santos, District Manager, Los Angeles Britton Soto, Plant Manager, Major. Santa Clarita PDC Shawn West, Plant Manager (A), Major. Los Angeles PDC Mike Allen, Plant Manager, Major. Santa Ana PDC Jeff Vibbert, Plant Manager, Major. ML Sellers

Recommendation #3

We recommend the vice president, Pacific Area, direct the plant manager, Santa Clarita Processing & Distribution Center (P&DC), to develop a 2-5 year package processing plan for the Santa Clarita P&DC to ensure processing capacity and staffing are adequate to support current and future package volume growth; in the interim, continue to redirect packages to facilities with excess processing capacity where possible; and ensure preventive maintenance is performed within established parameters.

Management Response/Action Plan:

We agree with the recommendation. Currently, the Santa Clarita PDC has one APPS and three APBS in support of outgoing and destinating parcel operations along with bundle sortation for Marketing (STD A) and Periodical mail. The Santa Clarita PDC takes FCM SPRS offloads from Santa Barbara PDC and International Import SPRS from the Los Angeles ISC and offloads FCM SPRS Los Angeles ISC, Los Angeles NDC, Industry PDC and for a short duration, Long Beach Priority Mail Annex.

Santa Clarita PDC is scheduled to upgrade the feed system of APBS #3 to a more efficient, less labor intensive operation in April, 2017. Additionally, Santa Clarita PDC is on track to receive one SPSS that will be fully operational in October of 2017. Please note, that due to the volume arrival profiles of the supplying mailers, offloads may still need to be made to the Pacific Area virtual plant system.

The net result of the immediate, future state at Santa Clarita PDC will be one APPS, three APBS and one SPSS.

Please note that as the Outgoing FCM SPRS volume continues to grow as the brick and mortar retail stores decline, the true growth of parcel volume for the USPS could be effected by Mail Houses that will provide direct sacks or containers of parcels sorted to the Area Distribution Center (ADC) and SCF Networks that will preclude the need for rapid acquisition of parcel machines. Moreover, when working with parcel mailers, the USPS is often required to sign non-disclosure of projected volumes from the respective mailers that can be revealed in planning stages.

4

The current state floor layout at Santa Clarita PDC will tightly accommodate the current need of letter and flat operations. However, additional parcel machines and associated receipt, inventory, swim lane and dispatch areas will require additional floor space that will come from the expansion of the building. Plus, additional docks will be needed to accommodate the dispatches of the new volumes to the Commercial Air (CAIR), FedEx, UPS and surface destinations. The overhead takeaway system will need to be modified to allow the more efficient allocation of sacks to the respective Low Cost Universal Sorter (LCUS) induction stations.

As the volume of parcels change, Santa Clarita will work collaboratively with Pacific Area and HQ staff to secure the needed expansion of the building when needed.

Target Implementation Date:

Santa Clarita PDC is scheduled to upgrade the feed system of APBS #3 to a more efficient, less labor intensive operation in April, 2017. Additionally, Santa Clarita PDC is on track to receive one SPSS to be fully operational in October 2017.

Responsible Official:

Britton Soto, Plant Manager (Major) Kelly Seckar, Manager Maintenance Hussain Always, Operations Industrial Engineer

Dean J. Granholm Vice President, Pacific Area

cc: Manager, Corporate Audit and Response Management Britton Soto, Plant Manager (Major)



Contact us via our Hotline and FOIA forms. Follow us on social networks. Stay informed.

> 1735 North Lynn Street Arlington, VA 22209-2020 (703) 248-2100